SANDAG

A Study on Workers' Historical Travel Patterns to Employment Centers in the San Diego Region

U.S. Census 2023 Local Employment Dynamics Partnership Workshop

Sadra Sharifi, Emanuel Lucban, Liang Tian March 28, 2023

Agenda



Introduction

Problem Statement

SANDAG Employment Centers

Methodology and Findings

Applications

About SANDAG

Metropolitan Planning Organization

 Federal Designation: transportation funding – Regional Plan

Regional Transportation Planning Agency

 State Designation: transportation funding – Regional Plan

Council of Governments

 State Designation: Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA)

Consolidated Agency

• State Law: plan and build transportation projects

Regional Transportation Commission

TransNet Program: oversight and funding



San Diego Region





58% households with income above \$60,000



1,228,505 housing units



Imper

Bead

SANDAG

4

Military Facilities

County of San Diego

Rincon

Reservation

Reser

Source: 2020 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Summary File; SANDAG 2020 Population and Housing Estimates; Bureau of Economic Analysis, 2021 Total full-time and part-time employment

Problem Statement

- What are the **Employment Centers** in the region?
- What have the overall **job growth** trends been over time?
- Have there been changes in **gender distribution** by industries over time?
- How have **workers' age distributions** changed over time?
- Are certain industries attracting workers with different levels of education?
- Have there been **income inequities** over time?
- Have there been changes in workplace **proximities** over time?

Employment Centers

Tier	Count	# Employees	
1	3	75K+	
2	10	25-75K	
3	15	15-25K	
4	51	2.5-15K	
Total	79		

SANDAG Employment Centers Methodology

https://www.sandag.org/data-and-research/socioeconomics/estimates-and-forecasts



Sectors by Employment Center Tiers (2019)

Tier 1

Tier 2



Sectors by Employment Center Tiers (2019)

Tier 3



Tier 4

SANDAG | 8



Methodology and Research Findings

Data

- LEHD Origin-Destination Employment Statistics (LODES) Version 7.5
 - Employment and residential areas
 - Census block level
 - Private primary jobs (JT03)
 - 2012-2019 time period



Analysis Process

- Explore historical patterns to better understand:
 - Relationship between socio-demographic characteristics and Employment Center Tiers
- Primary Characteristics:
 - Job growth
 - Gender
 - Age
 - Income distribution
 - Education attainment
 - Proximity (direct distance between employment and residential areas)

Job Growth

- The region experienced largest year-over-year job growth in 2016
- Significant and steady job growth in Tier 1 across all years
- Job growth remains flat starting 2016 in Tier 3 and Tier 4





SANDAG

Gender Distribution

-Tier 1 - Tier 2 - Tier 3 - Tier 4

- Tier 4 Employment Centers have the smallest difference (less than 1%)
- Tier 3 Employment Centers have the largest difference
- Gender distribution relatively stable for all Employment Centers over time



Workers Age Distribution

- Proportion of 55+ workers increasing over time for all Tiers
- Proportion of workers under 30 decreasing over time, except for Tier 1
- Tier 4 has largest proportion of workers under 30 comparing to other Tiers

2013

2016

2019



2013

■ Under 30 ■ 30-54 ■ 55 and Older

2019

Income Distribution Comparison 2012 vs. 2019

- Workers in Tier 1 Employment Centers earn higher incomes
- Largest change observed for top income range in Tiers 2 and 3 between 2012 and 2019



Income Distribution Comparison 2012 vs. 2019

- Largest change observed for top income range in Tiers 2 and 3 between 2012 and 2019
- Observed smallest income change in Tier 4 Employment Centers



Education Level Distribution

- Tier 1 Employment Centers have the highest proportion of workers with a college degree
- The proportion of workers without a College/Advanced Degree has increased for all Employment Center Tiers





Less than High School/High School

College/Advanced Degree

SANDAG | 17

Proximity to Tier 1 Employment Centers





Proximity to Tier 1 Employment Centers

 Increasing concentration of workers originating from the northern part of the region over the years from 2012 to 2019



Proximity

- Proportion of workers with 10+ mile proximity increasing over time in all Tiers
- Workers in Tiers 1 and 3 reside farthest from their workplaces
- Smallest proportion of workers residing within a 5-mile proximity in Tier 1





Put Everything All Together

Geometric Mean of Year-Over-Year Changes by Characteristics

Tier	Job	Income*	Proximity**	Age***
1	2.9%	4.6%	2.5%	2.4%
2	2.3%	5.4%	2.1%	1.6%
3	2.1%	4.9%	2.2%	1.4%
4	1.4%	4.1%	1.5%	0.8%

* Higher than \$3,333 income level changes.

** 10+ miles proximity changes.

*** Less than 55 age group changes.

DARK GREEN indicates highest change; LIGHT GREEN indicates lowest change.

Application

- To better understand biggest changing factors by Employment Center Tiers
- To facilitate prioritization of resources/programs
- To supplement future planning development effort

Weighting method can be used to facilitate prioritization of planning programs around Employment Center Tiers.

Tier	Overall Change	
1	3.3%	
2	3.1%	
3	2.9%	
4	2.2%	

Labor Market Centric Weighting Example: job 0.4; income 0.3; proximity 0.2; age 0.1 **DARK GREEN** indicates highest overall change; LIGHT GREEN indicates lowest overall change

Additional Applications



Economic development projections



Housing needs assessment and planning



Transportation model calibration and validation

Resources on Data, Research, and Products opendata.sandag.org



Stay connected with SANDAG



Explore our website and Open Data Portal SANDAG.org opendata.sandag.org

Follow us on social media: @SANDAGregion @SANDAG



Email: liang.tian@sandag.org

